Amendment Dated August 13, 2009 Reply to Office Action of May 26, 2009

## **Remarks/Arguments:**

Claims 1-4 have been amended. Claims 5-11 have been cancelled without prejudice and claim 12 is added. No new matter is introduced herein. Accordingly, claims 1-4 and 12 are pending.

Claim 1 has been amended to clarify that the moving picture storage unit stores a plurality of moving pictures, where the plurality of moving pictures correspond to a plurality of regions and times. Claim 1 has also been amended to clarify that the moving picture display unit displays position information corresponding to selected moving pictures to visually indicate locations of the selected moving pictures relative to each other. In addition, claim 1 has been amended to include a present time acquiring unit for acquiring a present time and that the moving picture display unit displays the selected moving pictures which correspond to the present time, based on a time difference calculated using the position information of the selected moving pictures. New claim 12 includes similar features. No new matter is introduced herein. Support for the amendments can be found, for example, at page 39, line 24-page 40, line 12; page 40, line 24-page 41, line 5; and Figs. 24-30 of the subject specification. Claims 2-4 have been amended to correspond to claim 1. Claim 4 has also been amended to include a world clock holding unit and to clarify that the moving picture display unit calculates the time difference based on the world clock holding unit. No new matter is introduced herein, support for the amendment to claim 4 can be found, for example, at page 37, lines 2-7 and Fig. 24 of the subject specification.

Claims 5, 10 and 11 have been objected to as being indefinite. The objection is moot because claims 5, 10 and 11 are cancelled.

Claim 7 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. In particular, it is asserted that claim 7 is directed to a "program" and is non-statutory. This rejection is moot in view of the cancellation of claim 7. New claim 12 recites a "computer readable medium including a program causing a computer to execute the steps of." Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 12 complies with the subject matter requirement under 35 U.S.C. §101.

Claim 6 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph as being indefinite. This rejection is most because claim 6 has been cancelled.

Amendment Dated August 13, 2009 Reply to Office Action of May 26, 2009

Claims 1-3, 6 and 7 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Wakimoto et al. (U.S. 2003/0095789). Claims 6 and 7 have been cancelled. It is respectfully submitted, however, that the remaining claims are patentable over the cited art for the reasons set forth below.

Claim 1, as amended, includes features neither disclosed nor suggested by the cited art, namely:

... the moving picture display unit displays the position information corresponding to the selected moving pictures, to visually indicate locations of the selected moving pictures relative to each other, when displaying the selected moving pictures of the plurality of moving pictures ...

... the moving picture display unit displays the selected moving pictures which correspond to the present time, based on a time difference calculated by using the position information of the selected moving pictures. (Emphasis Added)

Claim 12 includes similar recitations.

Wakimoto et al. disclose, in Fig. 16, a moving picture collection apparatus including position detection unit 70 for periodically obtaining longitude and latitude information of the apparatus and setting input unit 71 for setting buildings or areas to be shot (Paragraphs [0288-0289]). Fig. 17 shows an example screen of setting input unit 71 for setting the longitude and latitude of shooting start and end points (Paragraphs [0293-0296]). In Fig. 19, moving pictures and event information (including latitude and longitude) are displayed (Paragraph [0305]). Wakimoto et al. disclose, in Fig. 39, a user interface for editing moving pictures. The user interface includes moving picture playback window 113, playback control button 114, scene definition window 119 for specifying starting and ending frames for each scene and a moving picture edit window for concatenating and compositing each scene and defining a new scene. (Paragraphs [0013-0014]).

Wakimoto et al., however, do not disclose or suggest: 1) displaying position information corresponding to selected moving pictures to visually indicate locations of the selected moving pictures relative to each other and 2) that the selected moving pictures which are displayed correspond to the present time, based on a time difference calculated using the position information of the selected moving pictures, as required by claim 1 (emphasis added). Wakimoto et al. are silent regarding these features. Wakimoto et al. only teach displaying

Amendment Dated August 13, 2009 Reply to Office Action of May 26, 2009

position information of each shooting by text or graphically using symbols on a map (Paragraph [0315]). Thus, Wakimoto et al. do not include all of the features of claim 1. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection and allowance of claim 1 is respectfully requested.

Claims 2 and 3 include all of the features of claim 1 from which they depend. Accordingly, claims 2 and 3 are also patentable over the cited art.

Claim 12, although not identical to claim 1, includes features similar to claim 1 which are neither disclosed nor suggested by the cited art. Accordingly, allowance of claim 12 is respectfully requested for at least the same reasons as claim 1.

Claims 4, 5 and 8-11 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Wakimoto et al. and further in view of Prijatel et al. (U.S. 2002/0199189). The rejection of claims 5 and 8-11 is moot because these claims are cancelled. Claim 4 includes all of the features of claim 1 from which it depends and is patentable over Wakimoto et al. for at least the same reasons as claim 1. Prijatel et al. do not makeup for the deficiencies of Wakimoto et al. because they do not disclose or suggest: 1) displaying position information corresponding to selected moving pictures to visually indicate locations of the selected moving pictures relative to each other and 2) displaying the selected moving pictures which correspond to the present time based on a time difference calculated using position information of the selected moving pictures, as required by claim 1. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that claim 4, which depends from claim 1, is also patentable over the cited art.

Amendment Dated August 13, 2009 Reply to Office Action of May 26, 2009

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, the above-identified application is in condition for allowance which action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Jacques L. Etkowicz, Reg. No. 41,738

Attorney for Applicants

JLE/fp/nm

Dated: August 13, 2009

P.O. Box 980 Valley Forge, PA 19482 (610) 407-0700

NM452102